



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,812	03/29/2004	Keiji Tsukada	ASA-701-04	9711
24956	7590	02/14/2008	EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			LARYEA, LAWRENCE N	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 370			3768	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			MAIL DATE	
			02/14/2008	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/810,812	TSUKADA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	LAWRENCE N. LARYEA	3768

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence, Laryea.

(3) Daniel J. Stanger.

(2) Eric Winakur.

(4) Masako Kawakami.

Date of Interview: 01 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 26 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has filed an After Final Amendment. After review Examiner agreed to withdraw the current rejection based upon the arguments presented with the amendment. Examiner noted an Obviousness Double Patenting rejection with Patent 6735460 should have been made. Applicant agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer to avoid the Obviousness Double Patenting rejection. Examiner will perform an updated search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric F Winakur/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.